

## UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDCT MANED INVENTOR		
09/232,290	01/15/99	FILLION THUN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
to at a same and a same of the	01/10/33	PLUCKTHUN	Α	MORPHO/7

HM12/0322

JAMES P HALEY JR FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10020-1104 EXAMINER
DECLOUX, A

DECEOUX, H

ART UNIT

1644

00700

DATE MAILED:

03/22/0**Y** 

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No. 09/232,290 ⊿∩t(s)

Pluckthun, A et al.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b]]  a) \( \text{a expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)  b) \( \text{expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)  c) \( \text{expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)  b) \( \text{expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)  c) \( \text{expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.} \)  c) \( \text{expires}  3 \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever rejection.} \)  c) \( \text{expires}  \text{expires}  3 \) months from the date of the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a pelition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the purposes of the date of the response and also the date for the purposes. The date of the individual properties for the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of expectations the date for the purposes. Any extension feature that appropriate fee. The date of the response or as set forth in b) above.  c) \( Papellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).  (In the proposed amendment(s) and the final rejection, filed on mailed 3.5-2001 and seems considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  (In the proposed amendment(s):  (In they raise mew issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  (In they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  (In they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues	, effe
a) Mexpires	, effe
b) spirits spirits and months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in by above.  Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	, effe
Is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date of the final date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been file to date for the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response and also the date for the purposes of calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.  Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on mailed 3-5-2001 put is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendment(s):  Will not be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  Will not be entered because:  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  They raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  They raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does the 12 first paragraph rejection.  Newly proposed or amended claims  Newly proposed or amended claims  separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	, effe
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response or as set forth in b) above.  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on mailed 3-5-2001 has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendment(s):  will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  will not be entered because:  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claims  Newly proposed or amended claims  separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims  would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims  would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims	, effe
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on mailed 3-5-2001 has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendment(s):  will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  will not be entered because:  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does a substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23-25  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted is submitted.	, effe
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  will not be entered because:  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes " an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization " for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted separate.	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  will not be entered because:  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues, as does substituting "dimerization " for "association" in claims 23 -25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization " for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted is separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted is separate.	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23 -25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted separate.	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization " for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable in the proposed or amended claims would be allowable in the proposed or amended claims	
NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23-25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted.	
NOTE: The newly added limitation to the DNA of claim 1 that encodes "an interface allows contact along a longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23 - 25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted.	
longitudinal axis between adjacent domains within a chain within an antibody" raises new issues as does substituting "dimerization" for "association" in claims 23 - 25.  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The 112 first paragraph rejection  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted in the submit	<u></u>
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted is separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted is submitted.	
would be allowable if submitted	
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowable if submitted	
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	
for allowance because:	n a
The application in condition	
he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the	—
or purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see all the claims).	_
laims allowed:laims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
laims objected to:	
aims rejected: 1-27	_
ne proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.	_
ote the attached Information Disclared and a large land of the Examiner	- I
ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	-
Anni a Some	-
DAVID SAINDERS	
PRIMARY EXAMINER	co
ART UNIT 182/650	ea